by Bill Jahnel

I promised last month in my article on distribution to talk some about marketing your game products over the web. Therefore, to accompany that marketing advice, Tools of the Trade will focus primarily on visual web creation tools under \$100.

#### But first, a blast from the past. . . .

Last month, I spent a rather exhaustive period of time examining the tools for internet gaming connectivity. However, I left one off the list, since I did not know if it would still be something needed or not. Sad to report it is still needed, but glad to report it does its job cleanly, invisibly, and for under fifteen dollars. For America Online users, there is perhaps no more annoying message than that of the perennial popup window that exclaims, "You have been idle, do you wish to stay online?" The other offender was more egregious, which at 45 minutes online would show and ask if you REALLY wanted to stay online whether you were idle or not. Known derisively as the fortyfive minute timer, the window was created to try and help keep AOL's lines clear of

network for active users.

room on its

The conundrum is that AOL now bills itself as a full-fledged ISP

people who signed on and forgot they were online, to make

(internet

service provider) in addition to being a "content provider" (an service with stuff on it, organized in more useful forms than the chaos of the web). While I personally love AOL, the timer is the bane of the existence of all people who play internet games through AOL, since AOL has no way to track if you are using its TCP/IP pipeline in another program. Ergo, right in the middle of sacking a village in Warcraft or having your dwarf drop the coup de explosive in Myth, you might suddenly find yourself connectionless and without your soon-to-be vanquished prey. What to do? Enter the genius of Alex Rampell, who has constructed the cure to your woes: Always Online 1.7b. This extremely smart program has had generations, but with its 1.7 release Rampell has made it the friend. Always Online used to have to keep your menubar open, but now it monitors the background silently. Run it and forget about it. Who could ask for more? At \$12, this program is a steal. The question in the months would be whether or not AOL might internally disable its timers and render this program a moot point. Alas for users but good for Rampell, AOL remains a timed zone, and for AOL users, this program is not just suggested, it's crucial. Try it out free for 15 days and then see if you can live without it.

#### Who Needs Web Page Design Tools?

The desire for the gaming market to get into web page design evenly among the game players and game designers. For web page is an easy and cheap way to set up a virtual store, a presence, and a way to offer cheap and up-to-date customer average game player, and especially for those gamers who are internet gaming, the desire to create "your slice" of the gaming hints, strategies, and tactics is a compelling desire. As a part Online Gaming Forum senior staff, I receive about one email a

tends to be split game designers, a professional support. For the fond of world, with of the America

internet

many

invisible

last few

week or two (and now designer who does the web) from basic choices

Adobe

its kind for

Sitemill,

the most

you had not

and replace

some ways

Pagemill

asking how to create a home page in order to share Warcraft Diablo, Deadlock, etc.) tips. For the beginning web page not know html (hyper text markup language, the language of pig Latin (the language of five year old boys), there are two that you can get away with for just under \$100.

The Great Web Clash: The history of Pagemill and Homepage For Mac users, the low-end market for visual web page design tools has been dominated by Adobe and Claris. (By "visual design tool" I mean those tools that let you design web pages without benefit of knowing html.) Pagemill 1.0, when it came to the Mac public, grabbed a tremendous share of the market and had a lot of loyal enthusiasts, including myself. Pagemill was a groundbreaking product, and in many ways one of the first of the Mac platform. Claris Homepage appeared later, but Homepage 1.0 did not receive as much popular support or press laudations as Pagemill had gained. Pagemill looked like it had a solid advantage when it then released version 2.0, which also incorporated what had been a separate product, into the Pagemill 2.0 package. This meant that for under \$100 only a web designing tool but also a tool that could upload only recent files you changed by FTP and perform universal search over an entire web site project. Claris Homepage 2.0 was in more elegant web page designing tool than Pagemill, and its 2.0 release was strong enough to start causing people' loyalties to switch. However, for people with large sites or needs for the site management tools, remained the only game in town for the under-\$100 price tag.

### The State of the Nation Today

broken up.

Claris, as many folks know, recently had their product line

Parent company Filemaker has kept the Homepage product (which is still being called Claris Homepage) and sent Claris emailer on to Apple. When Claris released Homepage 3.0, though, they not only caught up with Pagemill, but also incorporated a new technology that will be tough for Pagemill to compete with.

### Enough history, what can I do with them?

Well, Homepage and Pagemill have a lot more in common than they differ. Both tools give you the opportunity to create a web page in a manner very similar to a word processor. You can type in text, change its format and style with a toolbar, drag and drop pictures into your page and generally create web pages without knowing a lick of html. Both have some small graphic tools and both allow you to create transparent GIFs (pictures with spaces in them that show the back of the web page through them, so not all of your pictures have to be "square").

### What are the Advantages and Disadvantages of each?

Since this is not a technically review column, I did not want to spend five pages going over each individual feature. Instead, the following comparisons should give you an overview of the main strengths and weaknesses of the two products so that you may more easily choose the one that works best for you. Please note I have in mind the basic gamer who wants to put up a small webpage and the small business or shareware author who needs to create a marketing presence on the web. If your needs are different, my advice mileage may vary for you.

### Adobe Pagemill 2.0

	l have been a veter
incarnation, and in	
	many ways Adobe g
interface is	

. . . eran Pagemill user since its original got a lot of it right the first time. Its

relatively easy to understand, and with 2.0 the ability to color text and elegantly handle frames and tables won me over. While Claris later caught up in the frames department, I still prefer the handling of tables under Pagemill. Pagemill was the first to have a program for search and replace functions with Sitemill, but searching or making changes on large sites can cause glacial slowdowns even on the zippiest computers. For that reason, it is also not considered a good idea to always run Sitemill in the background when designing in Pagemill, or you might think you were doing design work on an SE/30. Pagemill also has a few spots where it gets cranky: It doesn't equate hitting return to the <BR> html tag (a change Adobe made from version 1 to version 2), which means that if you hit the return key all of your work will look double-spaced by default. Pagemill also distressing habit of rewriting custom code such as CGIs on you. Even though it has a "No Edit" feature where you can tag areas as being off limits for Pagemill to rewrite, I have had some instances where Pagemill duplicate code so I end up with, say, two counters running at the bottom of my main page sometimes. All this said, though, I have used Pagemill more than any other web designing program. At the same time, Pagemill is long ground quickly. Few

programs age so fast as those whose work is designed to be presented on the eversuper-competitive internet. Adobe's recently-released 3.0 Pagemill was for Windows only, with a Mac release scheduled this summer." As a result, Adobe gave Claris Homepage a long people salivate over Homepage 3.0's new features; and those powerful vodoo indeed.

#### Claris Homepage 3.0

as easy to work

changing and

"at some point

version of

time to let

features are

has a

will

I have only had Homepage now for a month but I have found it

Homepage uses awith as Pagemill and for some projects much easier.Homepage uses a"Wizard-template" metaphor for designing new pages. Itsextensivelibraries of examples and template pages makes it very quickand easy forthe novice to get a new page and then site up and running.Claris hasincorporated a set global search and replace commands to giveit sitemanagement tools similar to those originally found in Sitemill,and thesetools appear to have the speed edge as well on large sites.Overall, 3.0 was atremendously powerful upgrade to 2.0's features.

The most crowed-about feature of Homepage 3.0 won't make to the average user, but if you run off an Apple server (and this true for games designers and small companies) Homepage has new tools for integrating Filemaker Pro databases onto the web. a company intranet or serving out to the internet, with a very learning curve on the part of the user you can create a webto access and even update a Filemaker Pro database. For the interested, say, in creating a searchable online hint database or a company store, Homepage's database integration very, very attractive indeed.

## Which one's for me?

categories, you give the edge to favorite. Homepage Mac platform, powerful integration "Lasso integrated

any difference

some powerful

based interface

game designer

functions could be

for their RPG

is especially

Whether on

small

To be perfectly honest, unless you fall into a few specific can't go wrong with either product. At the moment I have to Claris Homepage, even though Pagemill is a sentimental not only is demonstrating continued and full support for the but it also has enhanced tools for the very novice user with its wizard setup and has some tools for high-end users in database that I doubt we'll see Pagemill even try and compete with. technology" (Lasso was the name of the original program that Filemaker and the web) was originally developed by a third licensed by Filemaker. Since Filemaker holds the honor of being popular of the Mac database programs, and since Filemaker Homepage, Adobe does not seem to have anywhere to go in

> On the other hand, I still prefer making my tables on Pagemill, 3.0's release this summer could up the ante once again. Both a ways to go in keep up with the newest html protocols and I them also create a support library of commonly used meta tags refresh tag. Meta tags usually act like comments or are places search engines to use in indexing your page, but some can be special browser functions, such as showing someone a page them to another web page automatically.

Microsoft FrontPage: the Dark Horse from the Evil Empire

Microsoft's FrontPage has a strangehold over the Wintel market, existence as a Mac product has been virtually overlooked. separately or with the Gold edition of Microsoft Office 98, just doesn't feel as finished as its two competitors. However, specialized circumstance where FrontPage will be your best

If (and only if) the server where your web page is hosted from Windows and has the "FrontPage extensions" plugged in, allow you to create certain custom search forms (called activated on the web. This includes a simple search engine powerful addition to FrontPage's arsenal for the game designer. advantage is that FrontPage, on these same servers, can page directly over the web without having to upload it to the run FrontPage, change the text and it is dynamically saving on

but its Available FrontPage for Mac there is one choice.

party but is now

the most

owns Claris

this direction.

and Pagemill

tools still have

like the

for terms for

used to activate

and then sending

would love to see

runs under FrontPage will "WebBots") that are tool, which is a The other actually update your site later. Just your website detriments. I have one admit search

you and

as you make the changes. This has both benefits and website on an NT server that has these extensions and I must FrontPage made my life a lot easier, since no other tool made databases easier to integrate on my site.

FontPage has some important weaknesses, however, that may cause you come pause before making it your primary design tool. When dynamically updating on a server, FrontPage needs to read your entire site before it can so its magic when you connect to your site area, and as a result on large sites you get a noticeable lag before you can make changes. FrontPage drops in small folders (directories) into your project, and for some reason those folders can sometimes cause programs like Fetch to be unhappy when you try and download the whole site at one time. If you don't own the server, mirroring changes with FrontPage and keeping a copy on your drive can be a sometimes time-consuming experience. Also, as great as the WebBots are, they are not as customizable as I would like. I have to index all of my site with the search bot, for example; there is no easy way to tell the bot to only index a single folder, or to create default pulldown menu search items to add to the boolean search. Much of the interface still is reminiscent of the windows platform, and some features, including the save as function, require you to TYPE IN the directory path of where you are saving a file, rather than giving open/save dialog box in which to navigate. I still do my primary design work in one of the other two products and use FrontPage only for its search engine and the ability to alter by recent site news page on the fly without uploading.

#### Are We having Fun Yet?

For an example of fun with these web page designers, please feel free to visit my Warcraft 2 booster site at http://members.aol.com/grannywii. Also known as "Granny's Warcraft 2 Home Page," you can take a

look at frames,	
	tables, and the integration of cgi code. The current site uses
both Pagemill	and Claris Homepage, though Granny (ie., me) needs to get off
her fanny and	make some more changes and additions to the page.

# Rating the Tools

	Though I don't do a formal rating of each of the titles
mentioned in this	article, I can't help but feel I need to classify the following tools
according to	my own, idiosyncratic rating system. That said, I present a
series of	
that fall into	categories (ranked from highest to lowest) and list the tools
	those categories after it. The most coveted honor is to receiv
the "Burning	Nun" Award.
	Get these tools, even if it means setting fire to a nun:
	<ul><li>Claris Homepage 3.0</li><li>Always Online 1.7b</li></ul>
	Great tools, seasoned veterans from the wars: • Adobe Pagemill 2.0
shoelaces	Not worth flaming a nun to own, but worth tying a nun's
	together, and only if your server runs frontpage extensions: • Microsoft FrontPage 1.0 for the Mac

## Software Listing

All three titles below retail at almost exactly \$99 each. Imagine

that.

Always Online 1.7b Author: Alex Rampell Cost: \$12 Shareware Fee Website: http://www.rampell.com/software/

# Claris Homepage 3.0

Author: Filemaker Cost: \$99 street / retail Website: http://www.claris.com

# Adobe Pagemill 2.0 for the Mac Author: Adobe

Author: Adobe Cost: \$99 street / retail Website: http://www.adobe.com

Microsoft FrontPage 1.0 for the Mac Author: Microsoft Cost: \$99 street / retail Website: http://www.microsoft.com/products/mac.htm